



CAESART
Center to Advance Elementary Science
ASSESSMENT • RESEARCH • TECHNOLOGY



ANNENBERG EDEXCHANGE
EdInstruments



Institute of
Education Sciences



Supporting high-quality science learning in PreK-5 through assessment

July 29, 2026

Megan Silander, Sania Zaidi, Lukas Winfield
Education Development Center

Curran Mahowald
EdInstruments at the Annenberg Institute

Bill Penuel
UC Boulder



Early Grades Science & Assessment

- Early science & engineering build foundations for later learning
- Better science requires better measurement
- What assessments already exist, and where are the gaps?



Center to Advance Elementary Science through Research, Assessment, and Technology (CAESART)

Build capacity for science education that benefits students and teachers

- Identify and develop assessments
- Generate evidence about effective science teaching and learning
- Share resources that advance the field



Funded by:



EdInstruments at the Annenberg Institute

*Visit **EdInstruments.org** to browse the free online repository of assessments, questionnaires, etc.!*

- Compile education research instruments across multiple domains
- Find, compare existing measures
- Support consistent measurement across studies
- Identify measurement gaps



Agenda

- Introduction (Bill Penuel, UC Boulder)
- Elementary Science Assessment Collection (Sania Zaidi, Lukas Winfield, EDC)
- Demonstration of EdInstruments (Curran Mahowald, Annenberg Institute)
- Panel discussion and Debrief (Bill Penuel, UC Boulder)
- Audience Q&A



Introduction – Bill Penuel

Landscape Analysis – Sania Zaidi

Why is this work important?

We need to understand what students know and can do in science and engineering to improve learning

- Assessments can help us understand what is working in early science and engineering education
- Early grades are particularly difficult to assess: not yet readers or writers; limited attention

No comprehensive existing repository for early science assessments



What do we hope to achieve?

- **Developing the nation's first comprehensive database of science and engineering assessments for PreK-5 students.**
 - Provides researchers with a list of available tools to measure learning
 - Identifies gaps in domains and areas of learning
- Allows researchers to make informed decisions about identifying relevant assessments
- Serves as impetus to develop new assessment resources.



Landscape Analysis

Purpose

- Systematic review of existing pre-K–5 science and engineering assessments
- Focused on assessments for researcher use
- Publish database in a public, searchable repository

Landscape Analysis Team

Education Development Center

- Sania Zaidi (Lead)
- Megan Silander (PI)
- Lukas Winfield
- Mingyue Sun



Target Audience

- Researchers conducting science and engineering education research
- Policymakers looking for evaluating programs
- Educators could use this information to inform how they think about designing or selecting classroom assessments.
 - Some resources are designed for classroom use.



Assessment Search

- **Content Focus** - science and/or engineering content (including computational thinking)
- **Grade Level**- PreK-5
- Can provide insight on an **individual** student level
- **Use independent of curriculum**
- Available in **English**
- Developed between **2004-2025**
- Developed or **co-developed by assessment experts, consortia, or researchers**
- Must have **scoring guide** (e.g., rubric, answer key, coding scheme)



Audience Question

Make an (educated) guess about which domains and grade bands were most represented in PreK-5 science and engineering assessments?

Grades

- PreK
- K-2
- 3-5


Domains

- Engineering/Technology
- Physical Science
- Life Science
- Earth and Space Science
- General Science




What did we learn from our analysis?
- Lukas Winfield

Resources that meet the Inclusion Criteria




Total Coded Resources = 530 resources







Domains





Reference to NGSS




N=41 resources



Item Format




Administration Format




N=41 resources



Assessment Modality



N=41 resources




Physical manipulative tasks


Example #1

**Trial 1:
FLOATING & SINKING PREDICTION**

SET-UP



(Bowl of tap water filled to about $\frac{3}{4}$ " from top)



(Sets of blocks, cylinders and long blocks)

Example #2




Measure: Preschool Assessment of Science (PAS)

Measure: Exploratory Behavior Scale (EBS)



Availability of Psychometric Information

Psychometric information is about making sure that measurement tools such as surveys, tests measure what they are designed to measure and do so consistently. It strengthens evidence-based decision-making, reproducibility, and the credibility of findings



N=41 resources

Type	Yes	No
Reliability	25	16
Validity	25	16



Walkthrough of the Collection – Curran Mahowald

*Navigate to **EdInstruments.org** to follow along!*

Use case:

- New 4th grade science unit focused on energy
- Did it improve student learning?
 - Beyond vocab memorization
 - Application of scientific ideas, construction of explanations, and 3D learning

Panel Discussion

Audience Q&A

Debrief – Bill Penuel



CAESART
Center to Advance Elementary Science
ASSESSMENT • RESEARCH • TECHNOLOGY



THANK YOU

EDC

Sania Zaidi: szaidi@edc.org

Lukas Winfield: lwinfield@edc.org

Megan Silander: msilander@edc.org

For more information check out ceasart.edc.org

EdInstruments

Curran Mahowald: curran_m@brown.edu

Browse the free online repository of assessments at ***EdInstruments.org***

*Sign up for email
updates from
EdInstruments by
scanning this QR code:*



The research reported here is based upon work supported by equal joint funding from the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, and the U.S. National Science Foundation through Grant R305C240014 to Education Development Center, Inc. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the Institute, the U.S. Department of Education, or the National Science Foundation.